



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,990	01/30/2001	Anna Pia Slothower	PALM-3559.US.P	4362

7590 11/24/2004

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP  
Third Floor  
Two North Market Street  
San Jose, CA 95113

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, JENNIFER T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2674

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/774,990	SLOTHOWER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer T Nguyen	2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on REC filed on 08/25/2004.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed 08/25/2004.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art Fig. 1 (cited by Applicant) in view of Robsky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,838,309).

Regarding claims 1, 9, and 16, the prior art Fig. 1 teaches a integrated enclosure/touch screen assembly comprising: a display mechanism (140); a digitizer mechanism comprising a top film (120) and a resistive digitizing element (130); a supporting structure (105) for supporting said display mechanism (140); and a cover (110) for the touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses said touch screen assembly and said top film of the digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between the cover (110) and the digitizer mechanism, wherein the resistive digitizing element can be activated by mechanical pressure applied to the external surface of the cover (page 10, line 11 to page 11, line 10 in specification).

The prior art Fig. 1 differs from claims 1, 9, and 16 in that it does not specifically teach the cover is a single piece cover enclosure. However, referring to Figs. 1-10, Robsky teaches single piece cover enclosure (24) for a touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses a top film of the digitizer mechanism (28) to allow mechanical transfer between the single piece cover (24) and the digitizer mechanism (28) (col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67). Therefore, it

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the single piece cover enclosure for a touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses a top film of the digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between the single piece cover and the digitizer mechanism as taught by Robsky in the system of the prior art Fig. 1 in order to provide a moisture and dust free environment for the touch screen mechanism.

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 17, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches a single piece cover enclosure is constructed using in mold decoration (col. 3, of Robsky, lines 17-40).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches finger pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure can be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (page 10, line 11 to page 11, line 10 in specification).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches wherein stylus pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure may be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (col. 1, lines 10-63 of Robsky).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches wherein said single piece cover comprises a mylar polycarbonate material (col. 3, lines 17-40).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 20, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the soft thermoplastic film has sufficient deflection under external pressure to active said digitizer mechanism.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches the single piece cover enclosure (24) for the display mechanism and said digitizer mechanism is constructed with a flat outer top surface free of any indentation (Figs. 1-10 of Robsky, col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67).

Regarding claims 10 and 19, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches said single piece cover enclosure is a soft thermoplastic outer film that is coupled to said top film

of said digitizer mechanism that is coupled to the supporting structure (col. 3, lines 17-40 of Robsky).

Regarding claims 13 and 18, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the digitizing element of said digitizer mechanism is a resistive type digitizing element.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicants' arguments filed 08/25/2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because as follows:

In response to Applicants' argument filed "the cited combination fails to teach or suggest the embodiments set forth in claims 1, 9, and 16. In particular, Applicant's prior art Figure 1 does not anticipate or render obvious an integrated enclosure touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses said touch screen assembly and said top film of said digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between said single piece cover and said digitizer mechanism". The prior art figure 1 discloses a touch screen assembly includes digitizing element (130), display element (140), and outermost protective film (11). The only difference between the prior art figure 1 and the invention is the outermost protective film of prior art figure 1 is in recessed fashion, it is on a level below the outer edges of supporting enclosure (105) which act as a bezel. The cover in invention is a single piece cover. However, Robsky teaches a single piece cover enclosure for touch screen assembly (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67) and it is well known in the art that by touching or pressing the single piece cover allows mechanical transfer between said single piece cover said digitizer mechanism (col. 1, lines 10-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to incorporate the cover enclosure as taught by Robsky in the system of the prior art Fig. 1 in order to provide a moisture and dust free environment for the touch screen mechanism.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reached at **703-305-4709**.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC. 20231

**Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

JNguyen  
11/16/2004

  
REGINA LIANG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER